



Crystallization of fats - Influence of minor components and additives

Journal:	<i>Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society</i>
Manuscript ID:	JAOCs-10-0618
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Dec-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Smith, Kevin; Fat Science Consulting Ltd. Bhaggan, Krish; Loders Croklaan B.V. Talbot, Geoff; The Fat Consultant Van Malssen, Kees; Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, Structured Materials & Process Science
Keywords:	Fats and oils, Fat crystallization < Lipid Chemistry / Lipid Analysis, Polymorphism < Lipid Chemistry / Lipid Analysis, Thermal Analysis < Lipid Chemistry / Lipid Analysis, Fractionation < Lipid Chemistry / Lipid Analysis

Crystallization of fats – Influence of minor components and additives

Kevin W. Smith^{*a}, Krish Bhaggan^b, Geoff Talbot^c, Kees F. van Malssen^d

Running title: Crystallization and minor components

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: +44 7787 225146. Email:

kevin.w.smith@fatscience.co.uk.

^aK.W. Smith, Fat Science Consulting Ltd., 16 Arundel Drive, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK41 8HP, UK

^bK. Bhaggan, Loders Croklaan B.V., Postbus 4, 1520 AA Wormerveer, The Netherlands.

^cG. Talbot, The Fat Consultant, Suite 250, St Loyes House, 20 St Loyes Street, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK40 1ZL, UK.

^dK.F. van Malssen, Structured Materials & Process Science, Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, NL-3133 AT Vlaardingen, The Netherlands

Keywords: emulsifier, sorbitan tristearate, Span, Tween, polyols, partial glycerides, phospholipids, nucleation, crystal growth, crystal morphology, crystal habit, crystallization inhibitor, bloom retarding fats, polymorphism

Abstract

Over the years, there has been a steady stream of publications on the influence that minor components and additives have on the physical properties of fat continuous systems. These have been reviewed here. Both indigenous and added components are taken into account. The various materials have been discussed, ranging from partial glycerides and phospholipids to esterified sugars and polyols.

Within the publications in this area, the (sub-)micron effects that these minor components have on nucleation, crystal growth, morphology, heat capacity and polymorphic stability have been described and discussed and, sometimes, explained. Similarly, the effects on a macroscopic level, such as visual aspects, melting profiles, post-hardening and rheology have been the subject of research.

Although limited compositional information, especially of additives, hinders appropriate discussions of the relevant mechanisms, some generic guidelines as to what type and strength of effect can be expected have been derived. As a general rule, more significant influence is observed when the acyl group of the minor component (where present) is similar to those present in the fat itself. Additives may have different effects depending on the fat they are added to, their concentration and the temperature, especially with increasing undercooling (which typically reduces the effect of additives).

Introduction

Fats and oils are important ingredients in many home and personal care (HPC) and food products. They are unique in the way they develop plastic structures in a wide range of products. Their physical state plays an important role in product perception. The structure of fat continuous products, especially, provides a characteristic texture: creamy or snappy, smooth or sandy. In HPC products this texture influences appreciation, but may influence the functionality too: release of active ingredients or application itself. In food products, the organoleptic properties (mouth-feel) are determined by the structure as well as melting profile and heat capacity [1]. In liquid oils, minor components may help maintain clarity, or cause clouding. The sensorial aspects are related to melting point, size and shape of the solid fat particles, i.e. fat crystals. They can be influenced both by composition and by processing [2-4].

There have been good reviews of fat crystallization over the last decade, or so [5, 6], but few have reviewed the role played by components other than the principal crystallizing species. This review seeks to redress the situation.

The influence of minor components on the crystallization properties, during processing and/or in the final product has been widely acknowledged for many years [7-10]. Minor components can be present indigenously or be added. Additives are used, and minor components removed, for the influence they have, or are presumed to have, on crystallization, surface gloss, temperature stability, rheology, polymorphic stability etc. Of course, minor components may also be removed due to their contribution to aftertaste, oxidative instability or just because they are worth more as a separate product; these aspects will not be covered here. In addition, although non-fat solid particles (e.g. sugar, protein, cocoa particles) can have an influence on many of the fat crystallization properties, they are not primarily taken into account in this review. Also the use of minor components as structuring agents in themselves is not discussed as it already has been reviewed recently [11].

There is no firm definition of what concentration makes a component "minor". In practice, it will depend on the concentrations of the "major" components. The result is that, in certain situations, a component at a concentration of 10% may still be considered a minor component. In other situations, minor components will generally account for a less than 2 or 3% of the composition, but often far less (<1%). The concentration required to achieve a given effect differs greatly depending on the mechanism by which the component works. Incorporation into the crystal structure is likely to require concentrations in the range of 0.5-5%. If the component's main role is played during nucleation, a concentration < 0.1% may already be sufficient to make a significant difference [12]. Those components that act by blocking growing sites on crystal surfaces may be effective at even lower concentrations.

To formulate the right combination of fat, functionality and additive, the additive's mode of action should be known. Likewise, it is worthwhile understanding the mechanisms of nucleation, crystal growth, polymorphic transitions etc. It is important to realize that products tend to be in a kinetically frozen state rather than in thermodynamic equilibrium. The fact that many minor components influence the kinetics, rather than the

1
2 equilibrium situation, will make a difference to the final product.

3
4 This review will focus on those components that have been investigated for their influence on fats in fat-
5 continuous systems. An overview of the components of interest will be provided, as well as the effects
6 observed and the possible mechanisms by which they work. The vast majority of published research has been
7 empirical in nature, with the result that much less is known than may have been anticipated. The effect of
8 emulsifiers on fat crystallization in emulsions is well covered by Garti and Yano [13] and will receive
9 limited attention here as it is a large enough topic on its own.
10
11
12
13

14 15 **Minor components**

16
17 Before looking at the effects of specific minor components, they will be described in the next two sections. A
18 summary of components studied, and in which fat type, are given in Table 1, along with reference to the
19 appropriate publications.
20
21
22

23 24 **Indigenous minor components**

25
26 The principal minor lipid components that are indigenous to oils and fats are free fatty acids (FFA),
27 monoacylglycerols (MAG), diacylglycerols (DAG) and phospholipids (lecithin). Within a fat, certain classes
28 of triacylglycerols (TAG), when present at low levels, also might be considered as minor components. Non-
29 lipid minor components can include various minerals, metal ions, proteins and foreign particles. However,
30 these non-lipid components are usually removed or reduced during refining of the oil.
31
32
33

34
35 The composition of FFA, MAG and DAG reflects the overall fatty acid composition of the fat.
36 Concentrations of FFA, DAG and MAG can vary greatly between fats and even between different batches of
37 the same fat. If fats are fractionated, the partial glycerides and FFA may concentrate in one of the fractions,
38 and this can vary between dry and wet (solvent) fractionation. A high level of FFA, in itself, is considered a
39 sign of poor quality (indicating a degree of hydrolysis); thus, FFA is reduced to less than 0.1% during
40 refining. MAG are usually removed during refining, but DAG remain unchanged. Thus, a low DAG level in
41 the crude oil is usually desired.
42
43
44
45

46
47 Lecithin (a class of phospholipid) is structurally similar to DAG, except that the third glycerol hydroxyl
48 group is esterified with a phosphate group (which, itself, can be linked to a variety of molecules, such as
49 choline, ethanolamine, inositol, or serine). Phospholipids vary greatly in their interaction with fat. Lecithin is
50 found in many vegetable oils at varying levels, and is mainly commercially obtained from soybean oil and
51 sunflower oil. This concentrated lecithin is used as an emulsifier in both food and non-food applications. As
52 such, it is frequently added to oils other than its native source. Although not entirely correct, the words
53 "lecithin" and "phospholipid" are often used interchangeably. In reality, lecithin is a mixture of
54 phospholipids, such as phosphatidylinositol (PI), lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) and phosphatidylcholine
55 (PC). Since different lecithins can have varying phospholipid composition, they may have different effects
56 on the crystallization properties of fats.
57
58
59
60

1
2 The effect the various phospholipids have on e.g. crystallization can vary [14-16]. PI and LPC, in particular,
3 seem to slow down the crystallization of cocoa butter but PC might accelerate crystallization.
4

5
6 Phytosterols can be present in oils in widely varying concentrations and composition, and may be used
7 analytically as a fingerprint to identify the oil. In certain cases, the sterols are isolated in order to be used
8 elsewhere as a food ingredient, e.g. as a means to reduce blood cholesterol levels. Although they have been
9 studied as structuring agents in oil, they have not been studied or used in relation to modifying the
10 crystallization properties of oils and fats [17].
11
12

13
14 Waxes are present in many oils (e.g. sunflower oil) but, where present at significant levels, are removed by
15 winterization.
16

17
18 To a certain extent, oxidized glycerides may be considered as minor components. However, oxidized
19 material is generally considered simply to be an indicator of poor quality. They are usually removed during
20 refining but can be present through subsequent mishandling of the oil.
21
22
23

24 Additives

25
26 Any of the indigenous minor components, described above, could be used as additives. However, in practice,
27 this is not generally the case. Phytosterols are neither used nor studied as additives to influence
28 crystallization properties of fats. FFA have been studied, but this mainly to identify their role as unwanted
29 minor components, since they have a negative effect on taste and stability. Although MAG and DAG are
30 usually removed, they may be deliberately added to oils and fats. If this is the case, their fatty acid
31 composition may or may not reflect that of the original fat.
32
33

34
35 Specific triacylglycerols may be used as additives. The TAG may be indigenous to the fat (in which case it is
36 enriched by the addition) or alien to the specific fat. For example in cocoa butter, the indigenous 1,3-
37 distearoyl-2-oleoylglycerol (StOSt) content may be increased, or the foreign 1,3-dibehenoyl-2-oleoylglycerol
38 (BOB) might be added. In this review, additives used to enrich the concentration of indigenous material will
39 only be included when the concentration is small enough to consider it a minor component both before and
40 after addition.
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Many other additives are derived from lipids, such as citric acid esters and propylene glycol esters [18].
48 Esters of fatty acids with all kinds of sugars result, for example, in sorbitan tristearate (STS), sorbitan
49 monostearate (SMS), sucrose esters and many others. Sorbitan esters may be ethoxylated too. The majority
50 of these are emulsifiers, but have the potential to alter crystallization in a non-emulsion system. Non-lipid
51 additives include proteins (e.g. sodium caseinate, whey protein isolate, and milk protein), sodium dodecyl
52 sulfate and xanthan gum.
53
54
55

56
57 Table 2 shows an overview of potential additives, including some trade names.
58

59
60 Outside the food and HPC area, research on additives has focused on issues like reducing the cloud point of
biodiesel, perhaps using esters of branched alcohols such as isopropyl or 2-butyl [19, 20]. Although the

1
2 results can be very interesting, the additives are not generally food grade and will not be reviewed here.

3
4 A specific problem related to published research on additives is the use of trade names to describe the
5 material investigated. Many studies are based upon commercially available additives. Taking sorbitan
6 tristearate, as an example, in reality it is composed of mono- di-, tri- and tetra-esters, of palmitic, stearic and
7 other fatty acids, with sorbitan, sorbitol and iso-sorbide [21-24]. FFA has been found to be present in
8 concentrations from near zero to almost 20%. Thus, STS is a mix of many different components. In addition,
9 for most of these components, there are many possible positional isomers, multiplying the true number of
10 components in the product. Of commercial STS, possibly only 1-3% will be the true tri-ester of stearic acid
11 with sorbitan (of which there are ten positional isomers). The same is true of polysorbate emulsifiers –
12 Tween® - which are composed of a complex mixture of oligomers [25]. In such mixtures, each of the
13 individual components could have a distinct effect on the crystallization properties of fats. The variability in
14 composition between suppliers, and even batches, of the same material means that it can be difficult to
15 compare effects across different studies.
16

17
18 Moving away from pure fat alone to full recipe products (e.g. from cocoa butter to chocolate) many other
19 ingredients come into the picture. Some of them will play a role, while others will not. Those ingredients that
20 will dissolve in the fat phase (e.g. lecithin) will be considered an additive in the scope of this review. The
21 others (mainly solid particles like sugar crystals) will not be addressed in this review. However, that certainly
22 does not mean that they don't influence the crystallization properties of the fat phase (see, for example, [26]).
23

24
25 Note that not all additives are accepted for use in foods in all countries. In some cases, use of a specific
26 additive is accepted in only a few countries (e.g. BOB in Japan).
27

28
29 In some studies, waxes have been added to a fat in an endeavor to influence crystallization [27] but this is
30 uncommon.
31

32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 **Effects**

42
43 In this section, the reported effects of additives and indigenous minor components are reviewed. Note that
44 due to the compositional uncertainty of some additives (see above), the reported effects will be mentioned, in
45 general, without any further comments. In a limited number of cases only is discussion of the observations
46 useful. Overall, the effects reported mainly demonstrate what it is possible to achieve in term of modifying
47 the crystallization properties of fats. To deduce how to obtain a specific effect in a specific application is not
48 trivial. In each case, the right additive must be found either by trial and error or by dedicated research.
49

50
51 A few words of caution are necessary. Note that other components (further additive, solid particles, etc.) or a
52 different application may completely alter the effect of the original additive. Similarly, note that the same
53 additive may have a different effect at different concentrations (e.g. the effect of dioleoylglycerol on the
54 crystal growth of coconut oil [28]), at different degrees of undercooling or even in the presence or absence of
55 agitation [29]. Further, note that the same component may have a different effect in a different fat.
56
57
58
59
60

Addition of crystalline material at some point during the processing in order to influence crystallization (i.e.

1 seeding) will not be covered in this review on minor components.
2
3
4

5 **Crystallization: Nucleation**

6
7 One of the most interesting effects of minor components is that on crystallization. A minor component can
8 affect either nucleation or growth or both. In the latter case, the effect on each is not necessarily the same.
9 Some components stimulate nucleation, whilst retarding growth, or vice versa, others stimulate or retard
10 both. The effects measured with respect to nucleation are: alteration of nucleation time, shift of nucleation
11 temperature, and change in the number and nature of the nuclei formed.
12
13
14

15
16 In many investigations, the first thermal effect during the crystallization process is considered the start of
17 nucleation. However, in DSC thermograms, for example, a minor component may affect the initial, minor
18 peak, whilst the later crystallization peak (representing the bulk of crystallization) may not be affected. Such
19 an observation in the cooling curve is often accompanied by an increased trailing shoulder in the heating
20 (melting) curve [30]. This is often reported as an influence on the nucleation of the fat. In fact, what is
21 observed are two separate events: firstly the nucleation and crystal growth of a high-melting fraction of the
22 fat and, secondly, the nucleation and growth of the bulk of the fat. In such cases, it is probably better to
23 conclude that the minor component did not influence nucleation, unless it is crystallization of the minor
24 fraction that is of interest. In certain systems, the first crystallization event corresponds to that of an unstable
25 polymorphic form, which consequently transforms into a more stable form, followed by continued growth of
26 this second polymorph. This is true of palm oil, which crystallizes into the α form, transforms into β' and
27 crystallizes further in that form. In this system, predominantly unsaturated MAG (from sunflower oil) did not
28 impact the nucleation of crystals but more saturated MAG (derived from palm oil itself) promoted nucleation
29 [31].
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 In milk fat – sunflower oil blends, palmitic and stearic sucrose ester with HLB=1 (principally di-, tri- and
40 polyester) led to a decreased crystal size and narrower size distribution but a palmitic sucrose ester with
41 HLB=16 (greater proportion of monoester) did not alter the crystal size or the distribution, although all
42 sucrose esters delayed nucleation [32]. This was true in a similar system incorporating the high-melting
43 fraction of milk fat [33-35].
44
45
46

47
48 A substantial part of research regarding the influence on nucleation is based on the investigation of the initial
49 crystals, also referred to as seeds [36-43]. This assumes that the specific components influencing nucleation
50 will be over-represented in the seeds. Again, this might influence only the initial crystallization event or
51 affect crystallization of the bulk of the fat as well. In cocoa butter nucleation, trisaturated TAG and
52 phospholipids are vastly over-represented in the seeds [42]. However, increasing their concentration by
53 adding additional trisaturated TAG does not influence the main crystallization [36, 37]. Loisel *et al.* found
54 that addition of extra tristearoylglycerol increases only the small initial DSC crystallization peak, making it
55 appear earlier and bigger. It did not affect the main crystallization step of chocolate, the onset, or the speed
56 of the main crystallization [36]. Unlike tristearoylglycerol, distearoylglycerol and stearic acid did not
57 influence the initial crystallization. Looking into the detail of the nucleation process, it appears that some
58
59
60

1
2 phospholipids, especially PI and LPC seem to slow down crystallization, while PC concentrations might be
3 related to faster crystallization [41, 42]. There was no correlation found between nucleation times and either
4 the original cocoa butter or the seed crystal total phospholipid concentrations.
5
6

7 Cebula and Smith [44] studied trisaturated TAG and native DAG in a cocoa butter equivalent. Increased
8 levels increased the degree of crystallization in the early stages and raised the temperature of nucleation. This
9 could be the result of the DAG and the trisaturated TAG precipitating first and then nucleating further TAG
10 material. In a similar approach, Wright *et al.* [45] removed the polar components from milk fat and then
11 added back the DAG. They found that the drop melting point and equilibrium solid fat content were not
12 changed but that the onset of crystallization was delayed at low undercooling. In addition, it appeared that
13 the milk fat DAG altered the growth kinetics, as revealed by the Avrami exponent. Wähnelt, Meusel and
14 Tülsner [46], found that adding DAG (1,2-dipalmitoylglycerol, 1,3-dipalmitoylglycerol, 1,2-
15 dioleoylglycerol, 1,3-dioleoylglycerol) did not influence cocoa butter nucleation, but that trioleoylglycerol
16 and tripalmitoylglycerol did. However, it is likely that the initial crystallization peak, comprising the high
17 melting fraction only, was considered.
18
19

20 Gordon and Rahman showed that bleaching and deodorizing dramatically reduced induction times of
21 coconut oil crystallization [28]. Bleaching removed phosphorus (i.e. phospholipids) and deodorization
22 removed FFA. The biggest effect was seen on complete removal of the phosphorus; the initial reduction by
23 75% (degumming) had only a small effect. The nucleation of refined coconut oil at 15°C was most delayed
24 by lauric and oleic acids (compared to palmitic acids), and more so at higher concentrations. The effect of
25 palmitic acid was much less and also less affected by the concentration. The effect of DAG was much
26 weaker than that of fatty acids. 5% dilauroylglycerol extended the crystallization onset from 8 to 11.5 min.
27 and 10% extended it even further, to 19 min. Dipalmitoylglycerol did not appear to affect the nucleation.
28 Added phosphatidylcholine delayed the onset of crystallization at 0.25% and even more at 0.5%. At lower
29 temperatures, the effects were smaller, perhaps demonstrating the significance of the greater undercooling. It
30 is possible that the delaying effects could be related to different activation energies: control (no acids added)
31 87 kJ/mol, 2.5 or 5% lauric acid 174 and 203 kJ/mol; 2.5 or 5% oleic acid 178 and 184 kJ/mol; 2.5 or 5%
32 palmitic 95 and 105 kJ/mol.
33
34

35 Herrera observed a delay in the crystallization of partly hydrogenated sunflower oil after adding 0.01 - 0.1%
36 sucrose esters (P-170, mp 58°C ex Mitsubishi-Kasei Food Corp) [12]. Rivarola, Añón and Calvelo [47]
37 found that phospholipids did not influence the nucleation step of wax crystallization in sunflower seed oil.
38 The crystal size was halved by adding 300 ppm phospholipids. Reddy and Prabhakar found that removing
39 TAG containing 9,10-dihydroxystearic acid from sal fat lowered nucleation temperature [48].
40
41

42 Tripalmitoylglycerol reportedly increases the crystallization speed of palm oil [49] and causes the formation
43 of β crystals rather than β' . However, given that palm oil itself formed around 10% solid at the crystallization
44 temperature (25°C) and the levels of addition of tripalmitoylglycerol were about 6% and 11%, similar to the
45 indigenous level of tripalmitoylglycerol, much of the reported increase in crystallization is due to
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 crystallization of the tripalmitoylglycerol itself. Similarly, this could also account for the observation of a
3 strong β peak by x-ray diffraction.
4

5
6 Waxes are usually higher melting components in a fat, and this is true even when they are sourced from
7 sunflower oil. However, they are apparently sufficiently similar to provide nuclei in milk fat [27], reducing
8 the induction time as measured by isothermal DSC at levels of 0.25% and 0.5%.
9
10

11 **Crystallization: Crystal growth**

12
13 The second stage of crystallization, after nucleation, is crystal growth, which may also be influenced by
14 minor components. Smith and Povey [50] investigated the effect of partial glycerides on trioleoylglycerol
15 crystallization in 1-5% (w/w) concentrations. Growth rate appeared to be promoted by FFA and inhibited by
16 DAG, in particular by the 1,3 isomer. Dimyristoylglycerol reduced the growth rate by a third,
17 diloleoylglycerol by two thirds. Normally, additives do not affect the crystal growth mechanisms, merely the
18 overall growth rate. The effect of the additive was greatest when the chain length is that of the fat; with the
19 effect decreasing rapidly with shorter chains, and decreasing moderately with longer chain lengths.
20 Although not discussed in the paper, the figures show that monocaproylglycerol results in big
21 trioleoylglycerol crystals, while 1,3-dicaproylglycerol results in much smaller crystals. This suggest an
22 influence on the nucleation stage (more nuclei with similar overall growth equals smaller crystals), rather
23 than the growth stage.
24

25
26 In a more fundamental study than average, Smith, Cebula and Povey investigated the effect of lauric based
27 molecules on trioleoylglycerol crystallization [51]. Monoleoylglycerol and lauric acid increased the
28 crystal growth rate of trioleoylglycerol, but decreased the facet and crystal size. Diloleoylglycerol isomers
29 significantly reduced growth rate. Crystal morphology was altered and the relative stability of the meta-
30 stable phases was increased. Growth rate was lower for samples containing 1,3-diloleoylglycerol than for
31 samples with 1,2-diloleoylglycerol. The effect was attributed to the varying sizes and shapes of the additive
32 molecules disturbing the regular build of the crystal lattice in different ways.
33

34
35 Phospholipids have been shown to have a marked effect on crystal habit (size and shape) of TAG, the
36 magnitude of the effect dependent on the specific phospholipid added [52]. 0.2% of phosphatidyl
37 ethanolamine increased the size of palm oil spherulites and rendered them denser. Phospholipids reduced the
38 growth rate of the fastest growing faces of pure trioleoylglycerol [52], which is consistent with the
39 development of denser spherulites.
40
41

42
43 In the presence of phospholipids the concentration of wax remaining in sunflower seed oil is greater than that
44 present following crystallization without phospholipids. The crystal size halves on adding 300 ppm
45 phospholipids [47].
46
47

48
49 Gordon and Rahman quantified the effect of minor components on the crystallization of coconut oil [28].
50 Fatty acids reduce the solidification rate at 15°C, proportionate with the concentration. The effect of oleic
51 acid is comparable to that of lauric acid, and both are more effective than palmitic acid. At lower
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 temperatures, the effects are smaller, probably due to the dominance of undercooling factor.
3
4 Dilauroylglycerol slows crystal growth only at 10%. Dioleoylglycerol speeds up growth (at 5%) or retards it
5 (at 10%). Phosphatidylcholine largely reduces crystal growth, more so at 0.5% than at 0.25%. Removing
6 phosphorus by bleaching increases the crystal growth rate. Removal of FFA by deodorization increases the
7 crystal growth rate even further. In agreement with this, Chaleepa *et al.* [53] found that lauric acid (at a level
8 of 15%) in coconut oil delayed the onset of crystallization, and reduced its rate. Lauric sucrose ester (L-195)
9 was found to have a similar effect but at a level of only 1%. Stearic sucrose ester (S-170) at the same level of
10 1% delayed crystallization even more effectively. Both lauric acid and L-195 led to fewer, larger spherulitic
11 crystals. However, with the former additive the crystals had a dense core with a lighter, feathery outer layer,
12 while with the latter additive, the crystals were smooth.
13
14
15
16
17

18
19 DAG retard the crystal growth of shea nut oil [40]. DAG slows down the velocity of growth of a cocoa butter
20 replacer [32]. Saturated MAG accelerate the crystal growth of partially hydrogenated soybean oil [54],
21 partially hydrogenated palm kernel oil [54, 55] and partially hydrogenated soybean+palm oil [55].
22
23

24 Foubert *et al.* [56] showed that the influence of DAG and MAG on the crystallization of milk fat was
25 dependent on the acyl groups present in the additives, as well as on their solubility in the liquid oil. Where
26 the acyl chain was stearic, nucleation was enhanced at lower temperatures, while, at higher temperatures,
27 they do not impact the nucleation but do influence the crystal growth. Where the acyl chain was oleic, there
28 was no influence on nucleation rate but the crystal growth rate was increased.
29
30
31

32
33 In chocolate crystallization, addition of extra tristearoylglycerol increases only the small initial step of
34 crystallization (causing it to occur earlier and to be larger), but not the main crystallization step.
35 Distearoylglycerol and stearic acid slow down the main crystallization step [36].
36
37

38 The work of Tietz and Hartel [57] illustrates the fact that there is often an optimum level of additive or minor
39 component. They removed the minor lipids from milk fat and then added them back at twice the natural
40 level. The milk fat was added to cocoa butter at a level of 10%. Longer nucleation times, slower
41 crystallization and faster bloom development were observed for milk fat with no minor components or
42 double minor components. Fortuitously, it seems, the natural level of milk fat minor components was the
43 optimum.
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Polymorphism and melting profiles**

50
51 The more complex aspects of the crystallization properties of oils and fats arise from their polymorphism.
52 Melting temperatures, heat capacities, network formation and phase transformations are all related to the
53 various crystalline structures fats can adopt. By stabilizing a certain phase, transitions into another phase -
54 often accompanied by product deterioration like fat bloom in chocolate or sandiness in spreads - may be
55 delayed or even prevented. Polymorphism can be difficult to control fully, for which reason the industry has
56 been looking for ways to improve the situation. Use of additives or removal of specific minor components
57 has been the logical approach.
58
59
60

1
2 At levels of 1%, monopalmitoylglycerol and monobehenoylglycerol both reduced the induction time and
3 accelerated crystal growth of palm oil [49], and led to the formation of more β polymorph. Despite the
4 conclusions of this paper, application of this finding to chocolate (i.e. cocoa butter) to avoid or ease
5 tempering, is unlikely.
6
7

8
9 Cocoa butter (and chocolate) polymorphism can be influenced by means of additives. It is probably the
10 application that has been investigated the most [3, 16, 36, 37, 40, 46, 58-65]. In particular the prevention of
11 fat bloom has been targeted [10, 57, 66-73]. The conclusions from such studies should be approached with
12 great care, since DSC often is used as the sole means of identification of polymorphs, which is not an
13 unambiguous method. On occasion, a closer study of the details presented, can lead to conclusions different
14 to those of the authors. However, visual or instrumental assessment of the whiteness of the surface is
15 unambiguous but may not be due to a polymorphic change but to a recrystallisation.
16
17

18
19 STS (Span[®] 65) is reported to decrease and broaden the melting point of the β_V of cocoa butter, with
20 increasing concentrations. Additionally, STS retarded the formation of cocoa butter β_{VI} from β_V but
21 promoted most other transformations, including from the β' forms to β_V . On a temperature program that
22 would fully transform β_V to β_{VI} , 5% of either sorbitan monostearate (Span[®] 60) or sorbitan monopalmitate
23 (Span[®] 40) retained 50% or more of the cocoa butter in the β_V form. STS, however, maintained all the cocoa
24 butter in the β_V polymorph. A blend of lipophilic and hydrophilic emulsifiers (such as Tween[®] and Span[®])
25 was apparently more effective than either alone (at 5%) [3, 10, 68, 70, 74]. A blend of Span[®] 60 and Tween[®]
26 60 improved bloom resistance of chocolate, although the mechanism behind was not explained [68].
27 Distearoylglycerol and stearic acid retarded the polymorphic transition after the second, major,
28 crystallization step in chocolate crystallization. DAG retarded polymorphic transitions in CBE on heating
29 [36, 44, 68, 74]. A high melting fraction of milk fat increased the bloom stability of chocolate [71].
30
31

32
33 In palm oil, the nucleation of the α polymorph on cooling palm oil, is promoted by its own MAG, but not by
34 the (more unsaturated) MAG from sunflower oil. However, both types of MAG accelerate the transformation
35 from the α to the β' polymorph [31].
36
37

38
39 Saturated MAG (mono-myristoylglycerol, palmitoylglycerol, stearyl glycerol and behenoylglycerol)
40 influenced (mainly decreasing) the melting points of hydrogenated soybean oil, palm kernel oil, beef tallow
41 and whale oil [54]. Unspecified MAG (with respect to composition) lowered the melting points of partially
42 hydrogenated palm kernel oil and partially hydrogenated soybean+palm oil [55].
43
44

45
46 DAG (from lard) retarded the β' to β transformation in a low-erucic acid (partially hydrogenated) rapeseed
47 oil-based margarine. The β -phase is clearly seen after 4 weeks in the absence of DAG. It takes 8.5 weeks
48 with 0.5% DAG and 44 weeks with 5% DAG. The effectiveness of the DAG depended on the position of the
49 acyl chains. The sn-1,2 form delayed the β' to β transition more than the sn-1,3 isomer [75, 76]. This
50 difference in the influence of positional isomers was also observed in milk fat [77]. Only the 1,2 isomer
51 increased the nucleation activation free energy barrier and so delayed crystallization.
52
53

54
55 Other emulsifiers having a stabilizing effect on a specific polymorph, or slowing transformation rates are
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 sucrose esters. Addition of 0.01 - 0.1 % sucrose esters to partially hydrogenated sunflower oil delayed the β'
3 to β transition [12]. A similar observation was made with pure tristearoylglycerol, with stearic acid sucrose
4 ester slowing both the α to β and β' to β transition [78].
5
6

7 For research purposes, the use of pure TAG instead of natural fats has advantages. The system is better
8 characterized and effects on specific TAG may be singled out. Several studies, thus, take this approach. Krog
9 examined the effect of a range of additives on tripalmitoylglycerol [18]. He found that STS and citric acid
10 esters stabilized the α phase of tripalmitoylglycerol more than the other additives. Of these, only propylene
11 glycol esters stabilized α to any degree, but the other additives had no effect on polymorphic changes in the
12 TAG. When mixed with trisaturated TAG of shorter fatty acids (C_{14} , C_{12}), none of the additives tested
13 showed any influence on the crystal structure. In a study of the effect of STS on the phase behavior of
14 tristearoylglycerol, tri-elaidoylglycerol and tri-oleoylglycerol, Elisabettni *et al.* [79, 80] found that the
15 influence of 5% STS differs according to the way the α to β' transition takes place. It delayed transformations
16 below the β form and disturbed the transversal packing of tri-elaidoylglycerol and, especially, of
17 tristearoylglycerol, which are TAG showing a melt-mediated α to β' transition. In the case of tri-
18 oleoylglycerol, the solid state α to β' transition does not allow an appropriate insertion of STS into the TAG
19 structure. However, in both Elisabettni's and Krog's studies, the observed differences of activity might be
20 better explained as being the result of more or less similarity between the fatty acids of the additive and of
21 the TAG investigated.
22
23

24 The α to β transition of monoacid TAG is also affected by SMS [81]. The α to β' or β transformation in
25 quenched tristearoylglycerol, or a 90:10 blend of tristearoylglycerol and tripalmitoylglycerol, upon heating at
26 $10^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ was prevented by 10% SMS. However, whilst heating at 5 or $2^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$, it reduced the α to β'
27 transition and prevented the β' to β transition. Both tripalmitoylglycerol and the emulsifier had a kinetic
28 effect on the polymorphic transformation of tristearoylglycerol, but neither affected the thermodynamic
29 stability of the individual polymorphs [82]. SMS in liquid 1,3-distearoyl-2-oleoylglycerol significantly
30 retarded the α to γ_{po} transition. The γ_{po} to pseudo- β' transition was not slowed. SMS behaved as a
31 conventional impurity, causing a reduction in the melting temperatures of each polymorph [83].
32
33

34 **Miscellaneous effects**

35 Addition of 0.1% Tween[®] 60 or 0.1% glycerol to a mixture of high melting fraction of milk fat with
36 trioleoylglycerol increased the yield force at 5°C [84]. However, higher levels of addition caused a reduction
37 in yield force. Peculiarly, Tween[®] 60 and glycerol had opposite effects on the induction time for
38 crystallization.
39
40

41 Lecithin (4%) in hydrogenated sunflower oil caused a slightly higher peak in the DSC cooling curve, and had
42 a similar, but smaller effect, on refined palm oil, but no effect on coconut oil (coprah butter). On the Solid
43 Fat Content (SFC) curve, slight differences could be observed. However, in the presence of water (84/16
44 fat/water) the effect of lecithin was much bigger, retarding crystallization [85].
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 The difference in emulsifier action depending on the application is clearly seen in a study on the effects of
3 emulsifiers on protein-fat interaction in ice cream mix during ageing [86]. Ageing a water continuous mix,
4 containing hydrogenated coconut oil, skimmed-milk powder, sucrose and stabilizer, for 30 minutes at 5°C,
5 resulted in a SFC of 55% in the absence of emulsifiers. In the presence of 0.2% (on emulsion, or 2% on fat
6 phase) mono-oleoylglycerol (GMO), the SFC was 65% and, in the presence of 0.2% mono-stearoylglycerol
7 (GMS), was 75%. This effect was not seen in the fat alone. The different influences of emulsifiers in bulk fat
8 and in the emulsified state indicate that the effect on fat crystallization was due to action at the interface. The
9 mean fat globule diameter was 1 μm . Emulsifiers also affected the desorption of protein from the fat globules
10 into the water phase. The speed and amount of desorption was least in the control, greater in the presence of
11 GMS and greatest in the presence of GMO. The presence of emulsifiers resulted in stable agglomerates of fat
12 globules, in contrast to the control. Again, GMO had a stronger effect than GMS [86].

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 Emulsifiers (up to 10%) can affect the heat capacity of mono-acid trisaturated TAG, apparently without
21 influencing the crystal lattice. The effect of emulsifier is strongly dependent on the transformation
22 conditions. 10 wt% of solid emulsifiers (Span[®] 60/65) gradually increased the heat capacity (C_p) of β
23 tristearoylglycerol, at temperatures close to the melting point, from the point where the slope of the C_p line
24 changes. The C_p of α tristearoylglycerol is not affected. Acidan[®] and 3G1S[®] increase the C_p of α and β across
25 all temperature ranges, parallel to the C_p of pure tristearoylglycerol [4, 87].

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
MAG stimulated post-hardening (post-processing crystallization) of hydrogenated soybean oil, palm kernel
oil, beef tallow and whale oil [54].

When the concentration of MAG exceeded its solubility in water, it formed surface-active crystals at the
water/oil interface, lowering the interfacial tension [88]. In emulsified systems, smaller milk fat globules led
to lower nucleation rates, due to the presence of fewer heterogeneous nuclei per droplet. Micelles of MAG
and possibly DAG are proposed to increase nucleation rate; removal of these partial glycerides considerably
lowered the nucleation rate, while addition of GMS increased it [89].

Somewhat surprisingly, while the minor polar components of milk fat affected its crystallization, the
mechanical properties and microstructure remained unchanged, being influenced far more by the temperature
[90].

Mechanisms

In order to know which components should be added or removed, to achieve the specific requirements for a
given application, an understanding of the relevant mechanisms is essential, as well as of the processes of
nucleation, crystal growth and polymorphic change. In some publications, mechanisms for the effects are
proposed. However, in none of these is the component of interest adequately analyzed, and often a proper
analysis of the fat phase is absent. Given that different components within a single additive could have quite
different effects, such proposed mechanisms are at best debatable. Some researchers openly admit that the
mechanisms are not clear [68]. Fig. 1 gives a summary of the ways in which additives may function.

1
2 In many cases, the component acts either as a promoter or as an inhibitor of the nucleation and/or growth
3 processes. This being the case, the component will not affect the total amount of solid phase when the system
4 has reached equilibrium. However, it can influence the rate at which this equilibrium is attained [91].
5
6

7
8 There are two cases in which the component will influence the final amount of solids: firstly, by changing
9 the equilibrium conditions (e.g. via incorporation of the additive into the solid phase or, by stabilizing the
10 liquid phase) or, secondly, by the fact that a kinetically stable situation may be achieved, rather than true
11 thermodynamic equilibrium. Since minor components frequently influence the kinetics, they may very well,
12 in practice, influence the final solid fat content. Such a kinetic effect is likely to occur when the influence of
13 the component is dependent upon heating and/or cooling rates [87].
14
15
16

17
18 The two cases described above can occur together. Schlichter *et al.* [87] describe emulsifiers that were
19 incorporated into pure monoacid trisaturated TAG. They did not influence the crystal lattice, but they did
20 affect the heat capacity. The effect of the emulsifier was strongly dependent on the transformation
21 conditions. The kinetic effect of the additive on the solid-solid transformation was found to be strictly
22 associated with the structure of its hydrophilic moiety. A model of molecular incorporation was proposed,
23 which describes the arrangement of the surfactant molecules parallel to the TAG chains, leading to the
24 formation of vacancies in the structure. The effect of on polymorphic transformations has been explained by
25 the additive's capacity to create hydrogen bonds with the neighboring TAG.
26
27
28
29
30

31 Sangwal gives a clear description of the effect of impurities on crystal growth kinetics [92]; although not
32 specifically directed at fats, many of the concepts are applicable to such systems and usefully supplement
33 this review.
34
35
36

37 **Nucleation and growth**

38
39 Nucleation is the development of small solid particles exceeding the critical size. Below this size, clusters of
40 molecules re-dissolve rather than grow, while above this size, they will grow further. From a minor
41 component perspective, nucleation can be influenced by providing heterogeneous nucleation sites [13],
42 stabilizing the developing nuclei, or affecting the driving force, e.g. by changing the solubility of the other
43 components, reducing the surface tension etc. They may also increase secondary nucleation by adsorbing at
44 growth sites, leading to crystal defects and weaker crystals that may break at that point [93]. Where the
45 nucleation rate is reduced, this may be due to shielding of the heterogeneous nuclei present by the minor
46 component or additive, rendering them less suitable as initiators of crystallization [93].
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 In the case of high melting fractions of milk fat, polyglycerol esters increased the nucleation rate, but sucrose
54 esters decreased it [94]. Given their molecular structures, this is perhaps not unexpected. However, it is
55 important to keep in mind that many techniques which promise to measure nucleation rate do not do so
56 directly. In this case, the technique depends on nuclei growing into crystals that achieve detectable sizes –
57 i.e. both nucleation and early growth are involved.
58
59
60

One proposed nucleation mechanism involves minor components in the early stages. Complex lipids with

1 surface-active properties in combination with high-melting (saturated) lipids form the initial crystals. The
2 main TAG components are then incorporated in order of decreasing melting point [40-42]. Nucleation and
3 crystal growth through such a mechanism should imply an influence from surface active and/or high melting
4 components. However, it seems that the first and second crystallization stages (DSC peaks) are quite
5 independent in many fats. The first crystallization stage frequently involves fully saturated TAG, while the
6 second stage is often the crystallization of partly unsaturated (usually monounsaturated) TAG. Nuclei
7 principally composed of highly saturated TAG do not necessarily provide a good growing basis for a partly
8 unsaturated fat. It is, therefore, no surprise that increased levels of trisaturated TAG, and certain DAG,
9 increase the degree of crystallization in the early stages, and also raise the temperature at which nucleation
10 occurs. However, faster nucleation does not imply faster crystal growth. The best crystal growth conditions
11 are attained when there is a great deal of similarity between the existing nuclei and main part of the fat; this
12 should be in terms of chain length, saturation, and type and position of unsaturation (*cis/trans*).

22 The growth process from pure solutions gives an insight into the potential growth process in actual fat
23 blends. Fat crystals appear to grow via a spiral dislocation mechanism [95], from solvent or the melt. In the
24 presence of Span[®] 60 the mechanism is the same, but the absolute growth rate is considerably decreased.
25 This is explained by an adsorption of the emulsifier at kink sites [96]. DAG may interfere with the interface
26 structure in this way, and prevent further molecules from joining the crystal [50]. Phospholipids may also
27 partially adsorb to the crystal surface. The more of the crystal surface that is covered, the greater is further
28 growth of crystals hindered [47]. Additionally, minor components might influence nucleation and growth by
29 having a preference for a particular type of crystal lattice. DAG may be of interest in this respect, since the
30 1,2 isomer might better be accommodated in orthorhombic crystals, while 1,3 might prefer triclinic crystals
31 [75].

38 It appears that maximum influence is observed when the acyl group(s) of the additive are similar (or
39 identical) to those of the crystallizing TAG [50, 97, 98]. Naturally, there is some influence from the polar
40 group of the additive. However, the requirement for an additive to have an effect on crystallization is that it
41 should be sufficiently similar to the crystallizing species that it can join the crystal matrix – at least at the
42 growth site. The additive's specific influence will depend on whether its molecules are completely included
43 into the matrix by overgrowth of the crystallizing species, or whether the structural similarity is such that
44 further crystallization is blocked. By acting at growth sites specifically (Fig 2.), only very small amounts of
45 additive or impurity may be necessary to observe a large effect [99]. Similarly, by acting at growth sites on
46 certain crystal faces, the morphology of the crystals will be altered [99].

53 Frequently, it is found that the minor component or additive has less influence at greater degrees of
54 undercooling [45, 100]. Due to the dissimilarity of the additive molecule to the TAG structure, it may be less
55 likely to adsorb at a growth site than the TAG molecules themselves. At low undercooling, this is not
56 significant and, once there, the additive blocks further growth. However, at higher undercooling, growth
57 rates are high and the additive has much less opportunity to adsorb at the growth site [101].

Polymorphic transitions and stability

For additives utilized to influence phase transitions (e.g. to retard or enhance post-hardening or fat bloom) it is of great importance to know whether the transition involved is solid-state, or melt/solvent mediated, since this determines, to a substantial extent, the way in which the transition can be influenced. For example, crystal habit modifiers might influence solid-state transitions, while other types of additives could influence transitions that occur via a liquid phase. The former must be incorporated in the crystals properly during crystallization, while the latter should perform better when present in the liquid fraction of the fat. This principle can also be used the other way around: if an additive is known to increase the liquid fraction of a fat, transitions accelerated by this emulsifier are likely to be liquid/solvent mediated. In many publications, however, the arguments towards either are never convincing.

In any system where solid and liquid fat are present (i.e. most natural fats, and blends used in foods), there is a dynamic exchange of molecules between the two phases [102]. This permits recrystallisation, which may simply be Ostwald ripening, or a fractionation of TAG, or may involve a polymorphic change as well. Partial acylglycerols have been shown to slow this process of exchange in tripalmitoylglycerol [98]; as anticipated, palmitic partial acylglycerols were the most effective.

STS was found to retard the cocoa butter β_V to β_{VI} transformation [68, 74]. This was proposed to be a solid state transition, delayed due to STS increasing the liquid fraction of β_V . The same increased liquid fraction would promote a β' to β_V transformation, although it is not immediately obvious that a slight increase of liquid would greatly influence the latter transition. Garti [68] proposed an alternative explanation along similar lines, that a solid-state transition (like β_V to β_{VI}), which requires a slight movement of molecules, would be hindered by the rigidity of the STS-type additive. However, it could be that the β_V to β_{VI} transformation proceeds via the liquid phase, in which case STS could act as a crystal poison at the growth sites of the growing β_{VI} crystals. Indeed, a small amount of liquid (hazelnut oil) added to cocoa butter accelerated the β_V to β_{VI} transformation [103]. To test this hypothesis, more structural information about β_V and β_{VI} crystal packing, and their differences, is required to support the liquid intermediated transformation. Recent publication of the structures of the two β forms, calculated from synchrotron powder patterns, would seem to indicate that the transition could not be solid state [104, 105].

An alternative interpretation of the STS data would be that incorporation into the crystal stabilizes the β_V structure. A lower energy of the β_V structure would increase the driving force for the β'_{IV} to β_V transition, while it would retard the β_V to β_{VI} transformation, just as has been observed, and irrespective of the type of transformation. Unfortunately, there is no further evidence of such incorporation, nor its effect on the crystal energy, so the latter hypothesis is not proven either.

The pure TAG studies of Elisabettini *et al.* [79] point in the same direction; the influence of 5% STS differs according to the way the α to β' transition takes place. It delays the transformations below the β form and disturbs the transversal packing of tri-elaidoylglycerol and especially of tristearoylglycerol, which are TAG showing a melt-mediated α to β' transition. In the case of tri-oleoylglycerol, the apparently solid state α to β'

1 transition does not allow an appropriate insertion of STS into the TAG crystal structure. However, the
2 differences in behavior might also be related to more or less similarity between the fatty acids of TAG and
3 emulsifier. Obstruction of transformation from α to a denser polymorph due to steric hindrance by an
4 incorporated bulky emulsifier has been reported for stearic acid crystals as well [96].
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Other mechanisms**

11 Tween[®] 60 added to a mixture of high melting fraction of milk fat with trioleoylglycerol led to the formation
12 of smaller crystallites, due to its impact on the nucleation stage [84]. These smaller crystals gave rise to an
13 increased yield force. However, although glycerol had a similar impact on the yield force, it had an opposite
14 effect on nucleation (induction time), leading to larger crystallites. Litwinenko et al [84] speculated that the
15 influence of glycerol must be attributed to increases in the crystal-melt interfacial tension, without indicating
16 how this might occur.
17
18
19
20
21

22 In fat/sugar mixtures, saturated MAG in amounts over the solubility limit tend to precipitate as a network
23 between fat or sugar crystals, which causes bulky sediments and results in better stability against oiling out
24 [106]. The emulsifiers adsorb more strongly to sugar crystals than to fat crystals and form tightly packed
25 monolayers with hydrocarbon chains directed to the oil.
26
27
28

29 The change in heat capacity of β tristearoylglycerol in the presence of emulsifiers [4] is explained as the
30 result of mixed crystals. The concept of two dimensional solid melting defines the mode of transition from
31 the solid to the liquid phase as a gradual breakdown of crystal lattices during a pre-melting stage. In a two
32 dimensional solid, thermal fluctuations cause dislocations of molecules and disorder in the network, while
33 permitting a relative stability of the crystal; this differs from a three dimensional solid, which abruptly
34 disintegrates into the liquid form. This kind of transition is involved in a gradual change of the specific heat
35 rather than latent heat. Hence, it seems that the change in the slope of specific heat is related to the initial
36 formation of defects in the lattice, previously defined as 2D melting.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Additive Design**

44 Predicting the effectiveness and specific influence of an additive in a fat is extraordinarily difficult from first
45 principles. Some have taken a theoretical approach to move towards a rational design process. Thus,
46 consideration is made of the specific types and location of growth sites and the effect, on crystallization and
47 morphology, of blocking each of these [107]. This is simply the first step in a rational approach and much
48 further work is necessary to cover the complete process. Currently, it is far better to explore the literature for
49 something from which to modify and develop a new additive [101]. It is worth keeping in mind the general
50 guideline, found throughout many publications, that similarity with the TAG molecular structure and affinity
51 with the crystal matrix is a good starting point [50, 98, 101].
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusion

Over the years a steady stream of published research on minor components and additives has disclosed many

1
2 effects on microscopic phenomena such as nucleation, crystal growth and morphology, as well as
3 macroscopic effects such as post-hardening, rheology and visual aspects. The majority of research is of an
4 empirical and descriptive nature. In a limited number of studies, underlying mechanisms are proposed, but
5 these are not always properly supported by the experimental results. However, by combining the information
6 gained out of a multitude of studies, a number of generic guidelines can be derived as to what to expect from
7 minor components, be they indigenous or added.
8
9

10
11 The effect of minor components on crystallisation, from nucleation to crystal growth and polymorphic phase
12 behaviour, is strongly dependent on the similarity between the bulk fat and the minor components
13 themselves. The greater the similarity, the stronger is the effect, especially where this similarity is in terms of
14 the fatty acid characteristics, such as chain length and number of double bonds. A high similarity allows
15 integration into the crystal matrix and/or adhesion onto a crystal growth site. In addition, this explains why
16 one additive may have different effects in different fats.
17
18
19
20
21

22 The degree of undercooling strongly influences the effect of minor components: increasing undercooling
23 reduces the effect of the minor component. This may very well relate to different activation energies at
24 different levels of undercooling as has been demonstrated in some specific cases.
25
26
27

28 The concentration at which a minor component influences the physical properties of the bulk fat varies
29 greatly with the mechanism involved. Poisoning of growth sites, limiting crystal growth or changing
30 morphology may be achieved at concentrations well below 0.1% w/w. However, to operate as heterogeneous
31 nuclei, the concentrations typically have to be significantly higher. Note that since some components can
32 play different roles, the concentration at which it is present may determine the dominant effect.
33
34
35

36 The general guidelines and the cumulative research should provide a good starting point for tailor made
37 additives with dedicated functionality. The recent studies on interaction between additive and crystal growth
38 sites are a clear indicator of developments in this direction. However, a number of shortcomings in the
39 literature must be taken into account. One of the most significant of these is that the additives studied are
40 commonly referred to by their trade name, despite the fact that - from brand to brand or even batch to batch -
41 the precise composition might vary, and appropriate analytical data of the additive is rarely provided.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Kropinski A.M., Kuzio J., Angus B.L., Hancock R.E.W. (1982) Chemical and chromatographic analysis of lipopolysaccharide from an antibiotic-supersusceptible mutant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 21:310-319
2. Özilgen S., Simoneau C., German J.B., McCarthy M.J., Reid D.S. (1993) Crystallization kinetics of emulsified triglycerides. *J Agric Food Chem* 61:101-108
3. Aronhime J., Sarig S., Garti N. (1990) Emulsifiers as additives in fats - effect on polymorphic transformations and crystal properties of fatty acids and triglycerides. *Food Struct* 9:337-352
4. Schlichter J., Garti N., Sarig S. (1986) Heat capacity of tristearin in the presence of food emulsifiers. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 63:788-791
5. Himawan C., Starov V.M., Stapley A.G.F. (2006) Thermodynamic and kinetic aspects of fat crystallization. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 122:3-33
6. Sato K. (2001) Crystallization behaviour of fats and lipids - a review. *Chem Eng Sci* 56:2255-2265
7. Eidelman N., Azoury R., Sarig S. (1986) Reversal of trends in impurity effects on crystallization parameters. *J Cryst Growth* 74:1-9
8. Nancollas G.H., Zawacki S.J. (1984) Inhibitors of crystallization and dissolution. In Jancic, S.J.; De-Jong, E.J. (ed) *Industrial Crystallization 84*, 9th Symposium, The Hague. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp 51-59
9. Krog N. (1977) Functions of emulsifiers in food systems. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 54:124-131
10. Easton N.R., Kelly D.J., Barton L.R., Cross S.T., Griffin W.C. (1952) The use of modifiers in chocolate to retard fat bloom. *Food Tech* 6:21-25
11. Perneti M., Van Malssen K.F., Flöter E., Bot A. (2007) Structuring of edible oils by alternatives to crystalline fat. *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci* 12:221-231
12. Herrera M., Rocha F.M. (1996) Effects of sucrose ester on the kinetics of polymorphic transition in hydrogenated sunflower oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 73:321-326
13. Garti N., Yano J. (2001) The roles of emulsifiers in fat crystallization. In Garti, N.; Sato, K. (ed) *Crystallization processes in fats and lipid systems*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, New York, USA, pp 211-250
14. Ringel Y., Sömjen G.J., Konikoff F.M., Rosenberg R., Michowitz M., Gilat T. (1998) The effects of phospholipid molecular species on cholesterol crystallization in model bile: the influence of phospholipid head groups. *J Hepatology* 28:1008-1014

15. Westesen K., Siekmann B. (1997) Investigation of the gel formation of phospholipid-stabilized solid lipid nanoparticles. *Int J Pharm* 151:35-45
16. Savage C.M., Dimick P.S. (1995) Influence of phospholipids during crystallization of hard and soft cocoa butters. *Manuf Conf* 75:127-132
17. Bot A., Agterof W.G.M. (2006) Structuring of edible oils by mixtures of γ -oryzanol with β -sitosterol or related phytosterols. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 83:513-521
18. Krog N. (1968) Some x-ray diffraction studies on emulsifier-triglyceride mixtures. In Abstracts 10th Congress of the International Society for Fat Research. ISF, p II-18
19. Lee I., Johnson L.A., Hammond E.G. (1995) Use of branched-chain esters to reduce the crystallization temperature of biodiesel. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 72:1155-1160
20. Lewtas K., Tack R.D., Beiny D.H.M., Mullin J.W. (1991) Wax crystallisation in diesel fuel: habit modification and the growth of n-alkane crystals. In Garside, J.; Davey, R.J.; Jones, A.G. (ed) *Advances in industrial crystallisation*. Butterworth-Heineman, Oxford, UK, pp 166-179
21. Garti N., Wellner E., Aserin A., Sarig S. (1983) Analysis of sorbitan fatty acid esters by HPLC. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 60:1151-1154
22. Wang Z., Fingas M. (1994) Analysis of sorbitan ester surfactants part I: high performance liquid chromatography. *J High Resolut Chromatogr* 17:15-19
23. Wang Z., Fingas M. (1994) Analysis of sorbitan ester surfactants part II: capillary supercritical fluid chromatography. *J High Resolut Chromatogr* 17:85-90
24. Sahasrabudhe M.R., Chadha R.K. (1969) Chromatographic analysis of sorbitan fatty acid esters. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 46:8-12
25. Ayorinde F.O., Gelain S.V., Johnson-Jr J.H., Wan L.W. (2000) Analysis of some commercial polysorbate formulations using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 14:2116-2124
26. Bricknell J., Hartel R.W. (1998) Relation of fat bloom in chocolate to polymorphic transition of cocoa butter. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 75:1609-1615
27. Martini S., Carelli A.A., Lee J. (2008) Effect of the addition of waxes on the crystallization behavior of anhydrous milk fat. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 85:1097-1104
28. Gordon M.H., Rahman I.A. (1991) Effects of minor components on the crystallization of coconut oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 68:577-579
29. Rousseau D., Hodge S.M., Nickerson M.T., Paulson A.T. (2005) Regulating the β' - β polymorphic transition in food fats. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 82:7-12
30. Watanabe A., Tashima I., Matsuzaki N., Kurashige J., Sato K. (1992) On the formation of granular

- 1
2 crystals in fat blends containing palm oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 69:1077-1080
3
4
5 31. Fredrick E., Foubert I., Van-De-Sype J., Dewettinck K. (2008) Influence of monoglycerides on the
6 crystallization behavior of palm oil. *Crystal Growth & Design* 8:1833-1839
7
8 32. Cerdeira M., Martini S., Hartel R.W., Herrera M.L. (2003) Effect of sucrose ester addition on
9 nucleation and growth behavior of milk fat-sunflower oil blends. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:6550-6557
10
11 33. Puppo M.C., Martini S., Hartel R.W., Herrera M.L. (2002) Effects of sucrose esters on isothermal
12 crystallization and rheological behavior of blends of milk-fat fraction sunflower oil. *J Food Sci*
13 67:3419-3426
14
15
16
17 34. Martini S., Puppo M.C., Hartel R.W., Herrera M.L. (2002) Effect of sucrose esters and sunflower oil
18 addition on crystalline microstructure of a high-melting milk fat fraction. *J Food Sci* 67:3412-3418
19
20
21 35. Cerdeira M., Martini S., Candal R.J., Herrera M.L. (2006) Polymorphism and growth behavior of low-
22 trans fat blends formulated with and without emulsifiers. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 83:489-496
23
24
25 36. Loisel C., Lecq G., Keller G., Ollivon M. (1998) Dynamic crystallization of dark chocolate as affected
26 by temperature and lipid additives. *J Food Sci* 63:73-79
27
28 37. Loisel C., Keller G., Lecq G., Bourgaux C., Ollivon M. (1998) Phase transitions and polymorphism of
29 cocoa butter. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 75:425-439
30
31
32 38. Siew W.L., Ng W.L. (1996) Characterisation of crystals in palm olein. *J Sci Food Agric* 70:212-216
33
34 39. Liu H., Biliaderis C.G., Przybylski R., Eskin N.A.M. (1995) Physical behaviour and composition of
35 low- and high-melting fractions of sediment in canola oil. *Food Chem* 53:35-41
36
37
38 40. Arruda D.G., Dimick P.S. (1991) Phospholipid composition of lipid seed crystal isolates from ivory
39 coast cocoa butter. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 68:385-390
40
41
42 41. Davis T.R., Dimick P.S. (1989) Isolation and thermal characterization of high-melting seed crystals
43 formed during cocoa butter solidification. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 66:1488-1493
44
45 42. Davis T.R., Dimick P.S. (1989) Lipid composition of high-melting seed crystals formed during cocoa
46 butter solidification. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 66:1494-1498
47
48
49 43. Archier P., Bouvron C. (1976) Etude de la nature de certains dépôts cristallisés dans les huiles fluides
50 de palme. *Rev Fr Corps Gras* 23:455-457
51
52
53 44. Cebula D.J., Smith K.W. (1992) Differential scanning calorimetry of confectionery fats: Part II-Effects
54 of blends and minor components. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 69:992-998
55
56
57 45. Wright A.J., Hartel R.W., Narine S.S., Marangoni A.G. (2000) The effect of minor components on
58 milk fat crystallization. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 77:463-475
59
60 46. Wähnelt S., Meusel D., Tülsner M. (1991) Der Einfluß isomerer Diglyceride auf
Phasenumwandlungen von Kakaobutter - Untersuchungen mittels isothermer DSC (Influence of

- 1
2 isomeric diglycerides on phase transitions of cocoa butter - Investigations by isothermal DSC). *Fat*
3 *Sci Technol* 93:174-178
4
5
6 47. Rivarola G., Añón M.C., Calvelo A. (1988) Influence of phospholipids on the crystallization of waxes
7 in sunflowerseed oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 65:1771-1773
8
9
10 48. Yella Reddy S., Prabhakar J.V. (1985) Effect of triglycerides containing 9,10-dihydroxystearic acid on
11 the solidification properties of sal (*Shorea robusta*) fat. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 62:1126-1130
12
13 49. Basso R.C., Ribeiro A.P.B., Masuchi M.H., Gioielli L.A., Gonçalves L.A.G., Santos A.O.D., Cardoso
14 L.P., Grimaldi R. (2010) Tripalmitin and monoacylglycerols as modifiers in the crystallisation of palm
15 oil. *Food Chem* 122:1185-1192
16
17
18 50. Smith P.R., Povey M.J. (1997) The effect of partial glycerides on trilaurin crystallization. *J Am Oil*
19 *Chem Soc* 74:169-171
20
21
22 51. Smith P.R., Cebula D.J., Povey M.J. (1994) The effect of lauric-based molecules on trilaurin
23 crystallization. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 71:1367-1372
24
25
26 52. Smith P.R. (2000) The effects of phospholipids on crystallisation and crystal habit in triglycerides. *Eur*
27 *J Lipid Sci Technol* 102:122-127
28
29
30 53. Chaleepa K., Szepes A., Ulrich J. (2010) Effect of additives on isothermal crystallization kinetics and
31 physical characteristics of coconut oil. *Chem Phys Lipids* 163:390-396
32
33
34 54. Niiya I., Maruyama T., Imamura M., Okada M., Matsumoto T., Matsumoto T. (1971) Effect of
35 emulsifiers on the crystal growth of edible solid fats. Part III. Effect of saturated fatty acid
36 monoglyceride. *Jap J Food Sci Technol* 20:182-190
37
38
39 55. Wilson E.J. (1999) Emulsifiers and their effect on confectionery fats. *Manuf Conf* 79:83-88
40
41
42 56. Foubert I., Vanhoutte B., Dewettinck K. (2004) Temperature and concentration dependent effect of
43 partial glycerides on milk fat crystallization. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 106:531-539
44
45
46 57. Tietz R.A., Hartel R.W. (2000) Effects of minor lipids on crystallization of milk fat-cocoa butter
47 blends and bloom formation in chocolate. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 77:763-771
48
49
50 58. Van Malssen K., Peschar R., Schenk H. (1996) Real-time x-ray powder diffraction investigations on
51 cocoa butter. II. The relationship between melting behavior and composition of β -cocoa butter. *J Am*
52 *Oil Chem Soc* 73:1217-1223
53
54
55 59. Van Malssen K., Peschar R., Brito C., Schenk H. (1996) Real-time x-ray powder diffraction
56 investigations on cocoa butter. III. Direct β -crystallization of cocoa butter: occurrence of a memory
57 effect. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 73:1225-1230
58
59
60 60. Weyland M., Hartel R. (2008) Emulsifiers in confectionery. In Hasenhuettl, G.L.; Hartel, R.W. (ed)
Food emulsifiers and their applications, 2nd ed.. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York,

1
2 New York, USA, pp 285-305
3

- 4 61. Schlichter Aronhime J., Sarig S., Garti N. (1988) Reconsideration of polymorphic transformations in
5 cocoa butter using the DSC. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 65:1140-1143
6
7 62. Nakae T., Kometani T., Nishimura T., Takii H., Okada S. (2000) Preparation of glyceroglycolipids
8 from pumpkin and their effects on polymorphic transformation of cocoa butter. *Food Sci Technol Res*
9 6:263-268
10
11 63. Nakae T., Kometani T., Nishimura T., Takii H., Okada S. (2000) Effects of glyceroglycolipids
12 prepared from various natural materials on polymorphic transformation of cocoa butter. *Food Sci*
13 *Technol Res* 6:320-323
14
15 64. Nakae T., Kometani T., Nishimura T., Takii H., Okada S. (2000) Effect of glycolipid fraction on fat
16 bloom in dark and milk chocolates. *Food Sci Technol Res* 6:269-274
17
18 65. Van Malssen K., Van Langevelde A., Peschar R., Schenk H. (1999) Phase behavior and extended
19 phase scheme of static cocoa butter investigated with real-time x-ray powder diffraction. *J Am Oil*
20 *Chem Soc* 76:669-676
21
22 66. Walter P., Cornillon P. (2001) Influence of thermal conditions and presence of additives on fat bloom
23 in chocolate. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 78:927-932
24
25 67. Mori H. (1989) BOB: A fat bloom inhibitor. *Manuf Conf* 69:63-66
26
27 68. Garti N., Schlichter J., Sarig S. (1986) Effect of food emulsifiers on polymorphic transitions of cocoa
28 butter. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 63:230-236
29
30 69. Ludwig K.G. (1969) Moderne Emulgatoren zur Verzögerung der Fetteifbildung bei Schokolade
31 (Modern emulsifiers for retarding the formation of fat blooms in chocolate). *Fette Seifen Anstrichm*
32 71:672-678
33
34 70. DuRoss J.W., Knightly W.H. (1965) relationship of sorbitan monostearate and polysorbate 60 to
35 bloom resistance in properly tempered chocolate. *Manuf Conf* 45:50-56
36
37 71. Pajin B., Jovanovic O. (2005) Influence of high-melting milk fat fraction on quality and fat bloom
38 stability of chocolate. *Eur Food Res Technol* 220:389-394
39
40 72. Campbell L.B., Andersen D.A., Keeney P.G. (1969) Hydrogenated milk fat as an inhibitor of the fat
41 bloom defect in dark chocolate. *J Dairy Sci* 52:976-979
42
43 73. Jovanovic O., Pajin B. (2004) Influence of lactic acid ester on chocolate quality. *Trends Food Sci*
44 *Technol* 15:128-136
45
46 74. Schlichter J., Sarig S., Garti N. (1985) Polymorphic transformations of cocoa butter in the presence of
47 emulsifier, studied by the DSC. *Thermochim Acta* 85:517-520
48
49 75. Hernqvist L., Anjou K. (1983) Diglycerides as a stabilizer of the β' -crystal form in margarines and
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 fats. *Fette Seifen Anstrichm* 85:64-66
3
4
5 76. Hernqvist L., Larsson K., Herslöf B., Podlaha O. (1981) Polymorphism of rapeseed oil with a low
6 content of erucic acid and possibilities to stabilise the β' -crystal form in fats. *J Sci Food Agric*
7 32:1197-1202
8
9
10 77. Wright A.J., Marangoni A.G. (2002) Effect of DAG on milk fat TAG crystallization. *J Am Oil Chem*
11 *Soc* 79:395-402
12
13 78. Oh J., McCurdy A.R., Clark S., Swanson B.G. (2005) Stabilizing polymorphic transitions of tristearin
14 using diacylglycerols and sucrose polyesters. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 82:13-19
15
16
17 79. Elisabettni P., Desmedt A., Gibon V., Durant F. (1995) Effect of sorbitan tristearate on the thermal
18 and structural properties of monoacid triglycerides - influence of a cis or trans double bond. *Fat Sci*
19 *Technol* 97:65-69
20
21
22 80. Elisabettni P., Desmedt A., Durant F. (1996) Polymorphism of stabilized and nonstabilized tristearin,
23 pure and in the presence of food emulsifiers. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 73:187-192
24
25
26 81. Garti N., Schlichter J., Sarig S. (1985) Polymorphism of even monoacid triglycerides in the presence
27 of sorbitan monostearate, studied by the DSC. *Thermochim Acta* 93:29-32
28
29
30 82. Garti N., Aronhime J.S., Sarig S. (1989) The role of chain length and an emulsifier on the
31 polymorphism of mixtures of triglycerides. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 66:1085-1089
32
33
34 83. Guth O.J., Aronhime J., Garti N. (1989) Polymorphic transitions of mixed triglycerides, SOS, in the
35 presence of sorbitan monostearate. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 66:1606-1613
36
37
38 84. Litwinenko J.W., Singh A.P., Marangoni A.G. (2004) Effects of glycerol and Tween 60 on the
39 crystallization behavior, mechanical properties, and microstructure of a plastic fat. *Crystal Growth &*
40 *Design* 4:161-168
41
42
43 85. Sambuc E., Dirik Z., Reymond G., Naudet M. (1981) Etude de la cristallisation des corps gras
44 plastiques. VI - Influence des glycérides partiels et des phosphatides en absence et en présence d'eau.
45 B) Cas des lécithines de soja. *Rev Fr Corps Gras* 28:13-19
46
47
48 86. Barfod N.M., Krog N., Larsen G., Buchheim W. (1991) Effects of emulsifiers on protein-fat
49 interaction in ice cream mix during ageing I: quantitative analyses. *Fat Sci Technol* 93:24-29
50
51
52 87. Schlichter Aronhime J., Sarig S., Garti N. (1988) Dynamic control of polymorphic transformation in
53 triglycerides by surfactants: the button syndrome. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 65:1144-1150
54
55
56 88. Krog N., Larsson K. (1992) Crystallization at interfaces in food emulsions - a general phenomenon.
57 *Fat Sci Technol* 94:55-57
58
59
60 89. Walstra P., Berensteyn E.C.H. (1975) Crystallization of milk fat in the emulsified state. *Neth Milk*
Dairy J 29:35-65

- 1
2 90. Wright A.J., Marangoni A.G. (2003) The effect of minor components on milk fat microstructure and
3 mechanical properties. *J Food Sci* 68:182-186
4
5
6 91. Van den Tempel M. (1968) Effects of emulsifiers on the crystallisation of triglycerides. In *SCI*
7 *Monograph No. 32. Surface-active lipids in foods*. Society of Chemical Industry, London, UK, pp 22-
8 33
9
10
11 92. Sangwal K. (2007) Effect of impurities on crystal growth kinetics. In Sangwal, K. (ed) *Additives and*
12 *crystallization processes*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, pp 109-176
13
14
15 93. Mullin J.W. (1993) Nucleation. In Mullin, J.W. (ed) *Crystallization, Third edition*. Butterworth-
16 Heinemann, Oxford, UK, pp 172-201
17
18
19 94. Cerdeira M., Pastore V., Vera L.V., Martini S., Candal R.J., Herrera M.L. (2005) Nucleation behavior
20 of blended high-melting fractions of milk fat as affected by emulsifiers. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol*
21 107:877-885
22
23
24 95. Hollander, F.F.A. (2001) *Growth and Morphology of Triacylglycerol Crystals*. PhD Thesis, University
25 of Nijmegen, The Netherlands
26
27
28 96. Beckmann W., Boistelle R. (1985) Growth kinetics of the (110) face of stearic acid growing from
29 butanone solutions - pure solutions and in the presence of an emulsifier. *J Cryst Growth* 72:621-630
30
31
32 97. Garbolino C., Bartoccini M., Flöter E. (2005) The influence of emulsifiers on the crystallisation
33 behaviour of a palm oil-based blend. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 107:616-625
34
35
36 98. Smith P.R., Furo I., Smith K.W., Cain F. (2007) The effect of partial acylglycerols on the exchange
37 between liquid and solid tripalmitoylglycerol. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 84:325-329
38
39
40 99. Mullin J.W. (1993a) Crystal growth. In Mullin, J.W. (ed) *Crystallization, Third edition*. Butterworth-
41 Heinemann, Oxford, UK, pp 202-263
42
43
44 100. Cheong L., Zhang H., Xu Y., Xu X. (2009) Physical characterization of lard partial acylglycerols and
45 their effects on melting and crystallization properties of blends with rapeseed oil. *J Agric Food Chem*
46 57:5020-5027
47
48
49 101. Boistelle R. (1988) Fundamentals of nucleation and crystal growth. In Garti, N.; Sato, K. (ed)
50 *Crystallization and polymorphism of fats and fatty acids, Surfactant Science series, Vol. 31.* Marcel
51 Dekker Inc., New York, New York, USA, pp 189-226
52
53
54 102. Löfborg N., Smith P., Furó I., Bergenståhl B. (2003) Molecular exchange in thermal equilibrium
55 between dissolved and crystalline tripalmitin by NMR. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 80:1187-1192
56
57
58 103. Smith K.W., Cain F.W., Talbot G. (2007) Effect of nut oil migration on polymorphic transformation in
59 a model system. *Food Chem* 102:656-663
60
61

- 1
2 The β 1 polymorph. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect B: Struct Sci* 62:1121-1130
3
4 105. Van Mechelen J.B., Peschar R., Schenk H. (2006) Structures of mono-unsaturated triacylglycerols. II.
5 The β 2 polymorph. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect B: Struct Sci* 62:1131-1138
6
7 106. Johansson D., Bergenståhl B. (1992) The influence of food emulsifiers on fat and sugar dispersions in
8 oils. I. Adsorption, sedimentation. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 69:705-717
9
10 107. Deij M.A., Vissers T., Meekes H., Vlieg E. (2007) Toward rational design of tailor-made additives
11 using growth site statistics. *Crystal Growth & Design* 7:778-786
12
13 108. Van Malssen K., Peschar R., Schenk H. (1996) Real-time x-ray powder diffraction investigations on
14 cocoa butter. I. Temperature-dependent crystallization behavior. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 73:1209-1215
15
16 109. Jacobsberg B., Ho O.C. (1976) Studies in palm oil crystallization. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 53:609-617
17
18 110. Prasad P.B.V. (1993) Effect of additives on solid-liquid transformation in coconut oil. *J Food Sci*
19 *Technol* 30:355-356
20
21 111. Wei D. (2005) Effect of emulsifiers on the crystallization kinetics of triglycerides and cocoa butter. In
22 Wei, D. (ed) Effect of emulsifiers on the crystallization kinetics of triglyceride and cocoa butter. PhD
23 Thesis, University of California, Davies, pp 1-108
24
25 112. Ollivon M., Relkin P., Michon C., Kalnin D., Mariette F. (2005) Crystallisation of anhydrous milk fat:
26 influence of polymorphism and emulsifiers. *Sci Aliments* 25:391-411
27
28 113. Sambuc E., Dirik Z., Naudet M. (1981) Etude de la cristallisation des corps gras plastiques. VI -
29 Influence des glycérides partiels et des phosphatides en absence et en présence d'eau. C. Cas des
30 mélanges équipondéraux des monoglycérides stéaro-palmitiques et des lécithines de soja. *Rev Fr*
31 *Corps Gras* 28:59-65
32
33 114. Sambuc E., Dirik Z., Reymond G., Naudet M. (1980) Etude de la cristallisation des corps gras
34 plastiques. VI - Influence des glycérides partiels et des phosphatides en absence et en présence d'eau.
35 A. Cas des monoglycérides stéaropalmitiques. *Rev Fr Corps Gras* 27:505-512
36
37 115. Johansson D., Bergenståhl B. (1992) The influence of food emulsifiers on fat and sugar dispersions in
38 oils. II. Rheology, colloidal forces. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 69:718-727
39
40 116. Johansson D., Bergenståhl B. (1992) The influence of food emulsifiers on fat and sugar dispersions in
41 oils. III. Water content, purity of oils. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 69:728-733
42
43 117. Martini S., Herrera M.L. (2008) Physical properties of shortenings with low-trans fatty acids as
44 affected by emulsifiers and storage conditions. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 110:172-182
45
46 118. Johansson D., Bergenståhl B. (1995) Sintering of fat crystal networks in oil during post-crystallization
47 processes. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 72:911-920
48
49 119. Wähnelt S., Meusel D., Tülsner M. (1991) Zur Kenntnis des Diglycerideinflusses auf das
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 Kristallisationsverhalten von Fetten (Regarding the influence of diglycerides on the crystallisation
3 behaviour of fats). *Fat Sci Technol* 93:117-121
4
- 5
6 120. Siew W.L., Ng W.L. (1996) Effect of diglycerides on the crystallisation of palm oleins. *J Sci Food*
7 *Agric* 71:496-500
8
- 9
10 121. Siew W., Ng W. (1995) Partition coefficient of diglycerides in crystallization of palm oil. *J Am Oil*
11 *Chem Soc* 72:591-595
12
- 13
14 122. Timms R.E. (1985) Physical properties of oils and mixtures of oils. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 62:241-249
15
- 16
17 123. Okiy D.A. (1978) Interaction of triglycerides and diglycerides of palm oil. *Oleagineux* 33:625-628
18
- 19
20 124. Okiy D.A. (1977) Partial glycerides and palm oil crystallisation. *J Sci Food Agric* 28:955-955
21
- 22
23 125. Loncin M. (1958) Influence des glycérides partiels sur la plasticité des matières grasses - Cas
24 particulier de l'huile de palme. *Oleagineux* 13:33-37
25
- 26
27 126. Mohamed H.M.A., Larsson K. (1992) Effects on phase transitions in tripalmitin due to the presence of
28 dipalmitin, sorbitan-monopalmitate or sorbitan-tripalmitate. *Fat Sci Technol* 94:338-341
29
- 30
31 127. Yella Reddy S., Prabhakar J.V. (1986) Study on the polymorphism of normal triglycerides of sal
32 (*Shorea robusta*) fat by DSC. I. Effect of diglycerides. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 63:672-676
33
- 34
35 128. Yella Reddy S., Prabhakar J.V. (1987) Effect of diglycerides on the solidification properties of sal
36 (*shorea robusta*) fat. *Fat Sci Technol* 89:394-397
37
- 38
39 129. Timms R.E. (1991) Crystallisation of fats. *Chem Ind (London)* 20 May:342-345
40
- 41
42 130. Simoneau C., German J.B. (1996) Contribution of triglycerides from cocoa butter to the physical
43 properties of milkfat fractions. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 73:955-961
44
- 45
46 131. Fairley P., Krochta J.M., German J.B. (1995) Crystal morphology of mixtures of tripalmitin and
47 butterfat. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 72:693-697
48
- 49
50 132. Hammam H., Söderberg I., Sivik B. (1991) Physical properties of butter oil fractions obtained by
51 supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. *Fat Sci Technol* 93:374-378
52
- 53
54 133. Sulaiman M.Z., Sulaiman N.M., Kanagaratnam S. (1997) Triacylglycerols responsible for the onset of
55 nucleation during clouding of palm olein. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 74:1553-1558
56
- 57
58 134. Rossel J.B. (1973) Interactions of triglycerides and fats containing them. *Chem Ind (London)* 1
59 Sep:832-835
60
135. Vanhoutte B., Dewettinck K., Foubert I., Vanlerberghe B., Huyghebaert A. (2002) The effect of
phospholipids and water on the isothermal crystallisation of milk fat. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 104:490-
495
136. Vanhoutte B., Foubert I., Duplacie F., Huyghebaert A., Dewettinck K. (2002) Effect of phospholipids

- 1
2 on isothermal crystallization and fractionation of milk fat. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol* 104:738-744
- 3
4 137. Miskandar M.S., Man Y.C., Rahman R.A., Aini I.N., Yusoff M.S.A. (2006) Effects of emulsifiers on
5 crystallization properties of low-melting blends of palm oil and olein. *J Food Lipids* 13:57-72
- 6
7 138. Rivarola G., Añón M.C., Calvelo A. (1985) Crystallization of waxes during sunflowerseed oil
8 refining. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 62:1508-1513
- 9
10 139. Niiya I., Morise E., Imamura M., Okada M., Matsumoto T. (1969) Effect of emulsifiers on the crystal
11 growth of solid edible fats. Part I. Effect of lecithin. *Jap J Food Sci Technol* 18:583-588
- 12
13 140. Schlichter J., Mayer I., Sarig S., Garti N. (1988) The influence of emulsifiers on the crystal properties
14 of tristearin and trilaurin. *Powder Diffr* 3:19-22
- 15
16 141. Azoury R., Aronhime J.S., Sarig S., Abrashkin S., Mayer I., Garti N. (1988) NMR relaxation studies
17 to explore the role of emulsifier in tristearin polymorphic transformation. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 65:964-
18 967
- 19
20 142. Garti N., Schlichter J., Sarig S. (1988) DSC studies concerning polymorphism of saturated monoacid
21 triglycerides in the presence of food emulsifiers. *Fat Sci Technol* 90:295-299
- 22
23 143. Schlichter Aronhime J., Sarig S., Garti N. (1987) Mechanistic considerations of polymorphic
24 transformations of tristearin in the presence of emulsifiers. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 64:529-533
- 25
26 144. Lee S., Deman J.M. (1984) Effect of surfactants on the polymorphic behaviour of hydrogenated canola
27 oil. *Fette Seifen Anstrichm* 86:460-465
- 28
29 145. Garti N., Sato K. (1986) Effects of surfactants on transition kinetics of stearic acid polymorphs. *J Am*
30 *Oil Chem Soc* 63:236-239
- 31
32 146. Garti N., Wellner E., Sarig S. (1981) Effect of food emulsifiers on crystal structure and habit of stearic
33 acid. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 58:1058-1060
- 34
35 147. Garti N., Schlichter J., Mayer I., Sarig S. (1987) Solid emulsifiers and polymorphic transitions.
36 *Tenside Surfactants Detergents* 24:42-45
- 37
38 148. Martini S., Cerdeira M., Herrera M.L. (2004) Effect of sucrose esters on the crystallization behavior of
39 bulk oil systems. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 81:209-211
- 40
41 149. Miskandar M.S., Man Y.C., Yusoff M.S.A., Rahman R.A. (2002) Effect of emulsion temperature on
42 physical properties of palm oil-based margarine. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 79:1163-1168
- 43
44 150. Huck-Iriart C., Candal R.J., Herrera M.L. (2009) Effects of addition of a palmitic sucrose ester on
45 low-trans-fat blends crystallization in bulk and in oil-in-water emulsions. *Food Biophys* 4:158-166
- 46
47 151. Nasir M.I. (2003) Effect of sucrose polyesters on crystallization rate of vegetable ghee: solid fat
48 content study. *Grasas y Aceites* 54:339-342
- 49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 152. Martini S., Herrera M.L. (2008) Effect of emulsifiers on shortenings. *Lipid Technol* 20:180-183
3
4 153. Yuki A., Matsuda K., Nishimura A. (1990) Effect of sucrose polyesters on crystallization behavior of
5 vegetable shortening and margarine fat. *J Jpn Oil Chem Soc (Yukagaku)* 39:236-244
6
7
8 154. Metin S., Hartel R.W. (1996) Crystallization behavior of blends of cocoa butter and milk fat or milk
9 fat fractions. *J Therm Anal* 47:1527-1544
10
11 155. Kuriyama J., Miyamoto Y., Sakamoto M. (2001) Effect of polyglycerol fatty acid esters on resistance
12 to crystallization of palm olein. *J Oleo Sci* 50:831-838
13
14
15 156. Petruccelli S., Añón M.C. (1991) Crystallization of waxes in sunflowerseed oil: Effects of an inhibitor.
16 *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 68:684-686
17
18
19 157. Yap P.H., deMan J.M., deMan L. (1989) Crystallization characteristics of hydrogenated canola oil as
20 affected by addition of palm oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 66:1792-1795
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Fig. 1. Modes of action of additives
3

4 Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the blocking of growth site by an additive
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 1. Minor components and the fats^a in which they have been studied, according to the references indicated.

	Cocoa butter ^b	Milk fat	Lauric fats ^c	Palm oil	Sunflower oil	Fatty acids	Pure TAG ^d	Other ^e
FFA	37, 58, 108		28, 53	31, 109			50, 110	
MAG	36, 37, 57, 58, 108, 111	56, 112	55, 86, 110	31, 49, 55, 113, 114	113, 114		3, 50, 51, 80, 82, 91, 98, 106, 111, 115, 116	39, 54, 55, 100, 113, 114, 117, 118
DAG	36, 37, 46, 57, 58, 108, 119	45, 56, 77, 90, 112	28	38, 43, 109, 120-125			50, 51, 91, 78, 80, 98, 126	39, 75, 100, 117, 127, 128
TAG	30, 37, 41, 42, 44, 58, 59, 66, 67, 71, 72, 108, 129	130- 132		49, 133			134	39, 48
Phospholipids	16, 40, 42, 57	1, 135, 136	28, 110	52, 85, 113, 137	47, 85, 113, 138		106, 115, 116	14, 15, 113, 114, 118, 139
STS & other Span [®]	68, 81, 111		55	55, 97, 137			4, 79, 80, 87, 111, 126, 140- 143	55, 117, 118, 144
SMS/Span [®] 60	3, 10, 61, 68, 70, 111			97		3, 96, 145, 146	3, 4, 74, 81, 83, 87, 111, 140-143, 147	3, 118, 144
Tween [®]	3, 68	84				3, 146	3, 87, 142, 143	118
Sucrose Esters		32-35, 94, 148, 149	53	97			78, 80	150-153


Other	10, 42, 57, 62- 64, 69, 73, 111, 154	27, 90, 94, 154	55, 110	55, 109, 155	12, 156	146	3, 4, 87, 91, 106, 115, 116, 140- 143, 147	19, 29, 39, 55, 118, 157
-------	---	-----------------	---------	--------------	---------	-----	---	-----------------------------

- a. Natural fat, a fraction or a derivative (e.g. hydrogenated)
- b. Cocoa butter and/or chocolate
- c. Palm kernel and coconut oil
- d. Pure TAG or combinations of pure TAG with natural fats
- e. Including fat blends, sal, canola oil, normal rapeseed oil, soy bean oil, margarine, whale and beef tallow

Table 2. Examples of some additive brand names and types

Abbreviation / trade name	Description
3G1S [®]	Triglycerolmonostearate
Acidan [®] , CAE	Citric Acid Ester
DATA esters, DATE(M)	Diacetyl Tartaric Acid Esters (of Monoglycerides)
GLP	Lactic acid esters / Glycerol Lacto Palmitate
GMO	Glycerol Mono Oleate
GMS	Glycerol Mono Stearate
P-170, S-170	Sucrose esters
PGE	PolyGlycerol Esters
PGMS	Propylene Glycol Mono Stearate
SAE	Succinic Acid Esters
Span [®] 20, 40, 60 / SMS	Sorbitan monolaurate, monopalmitate, monostearate
Span 65 [®] / STS / Crill [®] 36 / Durtan [®]	Sorbitan tristearate
Span [®] 80, 85	Sorbitan monooleate, trioleate
Tween [®] 20, 40, etc.	Ethoxylated sorbitan esters


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Modes of action of additives
168x138mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Schematic representation of the blocking of growth site by an additive
85x44mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Peer Review